1. MBA Graduate, Lincoln University College, Dubai, United Arab Emirates

ABSTRACT:

Background and Purpose: Entrepreneurial product development is a complex, high-risk process that requires structured management to transform innovative ideas into market-ready products. Startups often operate under conditions of uncertainty, resource constraints, and rapid technological shifts, making project management a critical factor for success. This research examines the role of project management methodologies, including Agile, Lean Startup, and Design Thinking, in supporting entrepreneurs from idea generation to commercialization. By integrating structured frameworks with adaptive, iterative processes, this study aims to identify best practices that enhance product viability, market responsiveness, and operational efficiency in entrepreneurial ventures.

Methods: A qualitative research design was employed, incorporating case study analyses and semi-structured interviews with startup founders, product managers, and project leaders. The study investigated project management practices across multiple industries, including technology, healthcare, and consumer products. Thematic analysis was used to identify recurring patterns in project execution, decision-making processes, and the effectiveness of various project management methodologies. Comparative assessments of successful and failed startups provided insights into critical factors influencing product development outcomes.

Findings: Project management plays a fundamental role in mitigating risks, optimizing resource allocation, and ensuring structured product development in entrepreneurial ventures. The study finds that Agile methodologies, particularly Scrum and Kanban, enable startups to maintain flexibility through iterative cycles, allowing continuous user feedback integration. Lean Startup principles, centered around the Build-Measure-Learn framework, support rapid prototyping and market validation, reducing wasted resources. Design Thinking complements these approaches by emphasizing user-centric innovation and problem-solving.

However, startups face challenges such as resource limitations, time constraints, team coordination issues, and evolving market demands. The research highlights the importance of prioritization frameworks, such as MoSCoW analysis, to focus on essential product features while preventing scope creep. Effective risk management strategies, continuous performance monitoring through Key Performance Indicators (KPIs), and structured communication channels significantly enhance project execution. Case study analyses illustrate that startups that embrace structured project management approaches outperform those that rely solely on ad hoc decision-making.

Theoretical Contributions: This study bridges the gap between traditional project management methodologies and the dynamic requirements of entrepreneurial product development. By integrating Institutional Theory, Resource-Based View (RBV), and Lean Thinking, the research demonstrates how structured project management enhances innovation, strategic alignment, and sustainable growth in startups. The findings contribute to the evolving discourse on how project management practices should be tailored to support entrepreneurial agility while maintaining structured execution.

Conclusion and Policy Implications: Entrepreneurs benefit from structured project management approaches that combine strategic planning with adaptability. Governments, incubators, and investors should support startup ecosystems by promoting project management education, providing funding for structured development methodologies, and fostering mentorship programs. Future research should explore the integration of AI-driven project management tools to further enhance decision-making, risk assessment, and real-time project tracking in entrepreneurial environments.

Keywords: Entrepreneurial Product Development, Project Management, Agile Methodology, Lean Startup, Innovation Management, Risk Mitigation, Market Validation

INTRODUCTION:

The field of entrepreneurship serves as a driving mechanism which enables both innovation and economic improvement while causing market transformations. The worldwide increase in startup activity results in high failure rates because numerous entities struggle to convert concepts into commercially viable marketplace products. The key element that determines entrepreneurial success includes project management but is consistently discounted among market demand and funding and team expertise. Due to their unclear business conditions and constrained resources small startups need advanced structured approaches to planning plus execution (Sońta-Drączkowska & Mrożewski, 2019; Tereso et al., 2019).

Entrepreneurs benefit from project management by obtaining an organized method for product development which implements efficient execution of five product development phases from concept creation through validation to development and testing until commercial launch. Startups achieve success through these fundamental elements which guide them to establish their expectations and allocate their assets to target defined periods and respond to market changes accordingly. On the other hand startup success becomes less likely because startups without project management face budget overruns alongside scope creep while their workflows become inefficient and customers experience unmet expectations (Khan 2023 assuming Jetter & Albar 2015). Project management implementations within startups create major operational improvements through increased startup success rates according to Rompho (2018) Tereso et al. (2019).

The initial stage of affordable product development through entrepreneurship starts with founders who explore market spaces and create new ideas before investigating possible solution feasibility. Project management throughout this phase helps both organisers of brainstorming along with market researchers perform their roles to effectively prioritise feasible concepts (Asgari et al., 2022; Sońta-Drączkowska & Mrożewski, 2019). The validation process commences after successful selection of an idea which requires startups to verify their hypotheses by conducting surveys and focus groups as well as prototype tests. The Lean Startup approach within Agile project management strategies enables startups to improve their ideas through iterative learning sessions and continuous feedback without major resource investment (saltz et al., 2019; Khan, 2023). Planned iteration allows businesses to lower their development risks when operating in unclear market situations (Jetter & Albar, 2015; Gracy, 2024).

The startup progresses to development after validation to create the actual product. Development progress requires joint management between engineering teams with strategic business planning and product designing to maintain market need fidelity. Through Agile and Scrum project management systems teams can divide development tasks into synchronized work cycles which enable immediate resolution of problems (Sońta-Drączkowska & Mrożewski, 2019; saltz et al., 2019). The Agile methodology offers a more flexible framework than the Waterfall model because it enables strategic changes through real-time user input which minimizes the chances of releasing inadequate products that miss customer expectations (Khan, 2023, and Jetter, and Albar, 2015).

Team members evaluate product usability while assessing performance standards and market compatibility during product development testing. Project management implements quality control systems alongside key performance indicator tracking and maintains effective feedback mechanisms according to Sońta-Drączkowska and Mrożewski (2019) and Khan (2023). This phase requires absolute focus to detect and resolve any product weaknesses that may exist prior to operational expansion. The product moves into commercialization when testing phase completes allowing marketing strategies and distribution networks and sales channels to be established. Commissioned project management helps maintain coordinated marketing activities and enables budget optimization with successful launch timing accomplishment (Khan, 2023; Tereso et al., 2019).

Entrepreneurial product development becomes more effective and risk reduction occurs while paving the path to sustainable growth when startups apply structured project management methods during their development stage. The entrepreneurial core values of innovation and creativity produce outstanding results through integration with standard planning procedures (Sońta-Drączkowska & Mrożewski, 2019; Khan, 2023). Project management understanding allows entrepreneurs to face challenges effectively and results in strategic sustainable strategic product delivery to consumers (Jetter & Albar, 2015; Gracy, 2024).

The stated research explores the role project management plays to develop entrepreneurial products from initial ideas until their launch into the market. The research investigates different project management principles alongside their startup execution capability and their ability to assist companies in overcoming resource challenges and market

unpredictability and execution shortfalls. This research evaluates practical cases and existing examples in order to deliver concrete guidance for business investors and startup managers about using project management approaches to support product development success along with business sustainability (Sońta-Drączkowska & Mrożewski, 2019; Khan, 2023).

LITERATURE REVIEW

Startup companies develop product solutions through complex operations that turn original concepts into market-ready items while handling financial restrictions and operational hurdles along with unpredictable elements. Startups function in environments that threaten stability and require readiness for fast adjustments due to their different organizational structure when compared with established businesses. Raw conditions demand that startups rely on effective project management to create systematic execution of their innovation development and market entry. The research examines project management methodologies and their utilization in start-ups while investigating problems that occur when startups implement systematic project management approaches.

Traditional Project Management Approaches and Their Limitations

Corporate organizations have practiced project management as a structured discipline for numerous years primarily using methods including Waterfall and PMBOK as well as PRINCE2. These organizational procedures demand projects to perform linearly through separate stages that link the beginning and ending phases. The Waterfall method applies a defined sequence of operations starting from requirement gathering through design and implementation after testing until deployment and maintenance (Conforto et al., 2014). As a process-based methodology PRINCE2 provides projects with defined roles together with responsibilities and governance mechanisms (Conforto et al., 2014).

These project management strategies create successful results in extensive programs yet they limit their effectiveness when startups need to implement them. The literature shows that immature business entities must preserve adaptability since they need to handle numerous product transformations and gather customer input and execute multiple rounds of development (Giardino et al., 2016). Systematic project management strategies do not work well with startups since they expect static requirements and defined goals throughout the project. The essence of entrepreneurial projects demands a flexible project management method which enables continuous learning and testing as well as adaptations through time (Oliva & Kotabe, 2019).

Agile and Lean Startup Methodologies in Entrepreneurship

Agile project management methods have become prominent because traditional models show insufficient results mainly in software development and startup projects. Agile methodologies consist of Scrum and Kanban along with Extreme Programming (XP) that base their approach on continuing incremental development and team collaboration and get continuous user feedback (Miller, 2019). The Agile project management approach differs from Waterfall by enabling teams to perform projects through successive small delivery cycles or sprints that facilitate quick adjustments from user feedback (Tripp et al., 2018).

The Lean Startup methodology functions as the most influential framework that emerged from Agile principles. The approach aligns perfectly with entrepreneurial product development because it enables validated learning through rapid prototyping with iterative development (saltz et al., 2019). The Build-Measure-Learn cycle of Lean Startup methodology allows businesses to develop Minimum Viable Products (MVPs) which users validate through testing so startups can adjust the product design accordingly. The implementation of Lean principles by startups leads to achieving product-market fit faster with reduced resource wastage according to Silva et al. (2013). Through its visual work progress elements Kanban works as an Agile approach that fights bottlenecks while making workflows more effective (Giardino et al., 2016). When startups use Kanban their teams gain better visibility which lets them make sound task choices and handle work assignments properly. Startups find Agile methodologies to have superior effectiveness over traditional models by providing necessary adaptability which fits well within entrepreneurial environments.

Design Thinking and Its Role in Product Development

The key approach for entrepreneurial product development known as Design Thinking emerged alongside Agile methodologies. Design Thinking surpasses standard project management systems by centering its strategy on human-focused innovation and extensive user analysis and problem resolution according to Vnukova et al. (2022). An essential element of this approach is five successive stages which help developers link product development to actual customer demand.

Startups succeed in the market and maintain higher customer satisfaction through the adoption of Design Thinking methods in their product development (Vnukova et al., 2022). The early implementation of user experience principles through Design Thinking reduces the possibility of developing products which consumers find unappealing. Design Thinking supports Agile and Lean Startup methodologies to improve development iteration which delivers better market alignment and decreased project failures according to Веретеннікова & Vaskiv (2018).

Challenges in Applying Project Management to Entrepreneurial Product Development

Existing startups encounter multiple obstacles when they try to implement structured project management methodologies in actual operations. Resource limitations present itself as the major hurdle to overcome in this process. Startups experience difficulties because they usually run with small teams and limited resources and minimal infrastructure compared to established firms with project management offices (PMOs). The evidence shows that startup employees and founders need to handle multiple roles by taking charge of product development together with marketing and operational requirements (Bolat et al., 2021). Startups generally encounter difficulties when they lack project management skills which results in both time management problems and task prioritization challenges.

The business climate demonstrates unpredictability along with uncertainty as major challenges. Business startups build products for environments marked by quick industry transformations that affect consumer interests together with changing technology and market competition patterns. Entrepreneurial ventures face unpredictable conditions that require them to make decisions without adequate information because traditional project management depends on structured planning for predictable situations. Startup businesses achieve long-term success and market uncertainty resilience through their implementation of adaptive project management approaches including rapid prototyping and iterative feedback loops according to Oliva & Kotabe (2019).

The process of expanding project management practices across an organization represents a significant business hurdle. Startups implement lean operations at first to achieve rapid movement and test various strategies before and after their inception. The informal structures used by the company tend to become inefficient when the organization expands. Starting-up companies need to maintain an equitable combination of fast response abilities with standardized procedures which track results while providing clear documentation (Tereso et al., 2019). Startups which keep using informal development methods for managing projects tend to face operational challenges along with poor resource handling.

Gaps in the Literature and Need for Further Research

The extensive research on Agile methodologies and Lean Startup together with traditional project management fails to address proper integration approaches for startups across various business sectors. Research primarily concentrates on Agile methodology implementation within software startups because these organizations have already adopted this approach. The special dilemma of hardware startups and biotechnology ventures together with service-based companies makes them need modified project management practices according to Giardino et al. (2016). Statistical evidence about how diverse methods of project management influence startup survival rates under different market circumstances remains scarce per current research findings on entrepreneurial project management benefits. Researchers should analyze particular project management approaches that deliver best results during startup development phases and establish methods for entrepreneurs to integrate structured planning with adaptable approaches (Zaremba et al., 2016). The present research extends current academic knowledge by developing an extensive evaluation of project management contributions to entrepreneurial product development across the entire concept-to-market process. This investigation evaluates effective methods for startup implementation of Agile as well as Lean Startup and Design Thinking along with strategic solutions for resource shortfall management and market unpredictability alongside scalability complexities. Through real-world case assessments and best practice examinations this research eliminates the theoretical-to-practical divide which provides entrepreneurs specific steps for enhancing product development results and startup success probability.

METHODS:

Qualitative methods define the research framework that examines project management responsibilities in developing new entrepreneurial products. The study uses case studies and interviews as its research design to explore project management practice application in startup environments and evaluate their effectiveness for product development

successes. Qualitative research serves as the main approach to gain extensive knowledge about both practical advantages and obstacles encountered during project management in entrepreneurial businesses.

Research Design

This research investigation contains data collection followed by data analysis as its main operational elements. The initial stage of the research collects qualitative data using case study analysis and interview methods to understand project management usage in startup situations. Researchers analyze collected data in the second phase to extract vital connections relating project management approaches to product development end results.

Researchers examine genuine examples from which they study project management implementations during entrepreneurial product development. Their findings explain in detail how startups incorporate project management systems across different development phases which span from initial ideas to prototypes and testing and final commercialization stages. Entertainment with startup leaders and project managers enables researchers to discuss firsthand how project management works in practice when faced with startup development complexity. The research method seeks to detect established methods along with major elements which support entrepreneurial achievement.

DATA COLLECTION

Case Studies

The main investigation approach of this study includes conducting case study evaluations with entrepreneurial startups that operate in technology and healthcare and consumer product and service fields. The sample selection includes case studies which match defined criteria about company size as well as development stage and industry sector. Publicly accessible information about selected startups combined with company reports and significant interviews with founders and product managers and project leadership is used for an analysis.

Each startup receives detailed investigation regarding their product development processes through analysis of project management methods Agile and Lean Startup and Design Thinking. The research evaluates the flexible modifications made by these methodologies to address startup-specific problems of resource limits and market unpredictability and scalability requirements. Projects are evaluated using multiple data sources including direct member interviews and documentation of company reports, product development records and externally published items including blog articles and press announcements for project management analysis.

Interviews

The evaluation includes semi-structured interviews with founders and product managers and project leads which reveal qualitative details about startup project management framework advantages and obstacles. The study includes interviews of participants who match the case study subjects to maintain a coherent research focus. Project managers and founders reveal their procedure for implementing methodologies through the interview process while also discussing both the decision-making factors behind Agile and Lean Startup and Design Thinking adoption and their experience with different product development stages together with encountered obstacles. The research team analyzes how project management techniques affect both product market alignment and customer contentment levels under the same scope. The discussions give researchers valuable insights about formal project management approaches that entrepreneurs apply to their businesses.

Data Analysis

This evaluation analyzes how project management guides entrepreneurial product development by examining case-study qualitative data. The selected method of thematic analysis allows researchers to discover patterns and categories alongside recurrent themes from which product development success influencers such as collaboration and iterative feedback and adaptable project approaches emerge. The analysis of multiple sources will lead to increased understanding of the impact structured project management has on product execution.

Qualitative investigation findings will lead researchers to discover regular patterns which will help them establish relationships between project management approaches and product development results. Descriptive analysis will present notable data findings and comparative analysis across case studies will display optimal practices together with general obstacles. The research design provides a comprehensive understanding of project management effects on product development so startup organizations can develop concrete strategies which boost their operational success as well as innovation and effectiveness.

Ethical Considerations

Such research demands primary consideration of ethical standards because it involves working with interview-based personal information. The research follows established ethical standards which protect both participant privacy and maintains full confidentiality. Each interview participant will receive full awareness about the study purpose and data handling procedures while providing consent to participate. Every aspect of data collection method and reporting will be transparent during the study while participant and organizational identities will remain anonymous for confidentiality purposes.

Limitations

The mixed-methods method delivers extensive understanding yet several important constraints arise during its application. The Interview sample may introduce sampling bias by failing to properly represent the startup population especially in missing sectors. The in-depth knowledge from case studies does not apply universally across startup environments because their data contains specific characteristics. Advanced research techniques through participant and industry diversity and contextual findings acknowledgment will reduce the study's existing limitations.

PROJECT MANAGEMENT PHASES IN ENTREPRENEURIAL PRODUCT DEVELOPMENT

The development of entrepreneurial products follows an active sequence of diverse stages throughout the development process. A series of essential stages redirects an ordinary concept into a functional commercial product. Project management effectiveness maintains a central role throughout development to achieve efficient production of the product and control risks while meeting market requirements. The development process needs specialized techniques for different phases because various goals emerge with their corresponding challenges. The essential steps of entrepreneurial product development acquire attention in this section which demonstrates project management functions at each developmental phase.

Idea Generation and Feasibility Analysis

The very first threshold in building entrepreneurial products starts when entrepreneurs establish new ideas about products or their services. The creative phase can start with new idea brainstorming although entrepreneurs must link these concepts to market requirements together with technological feasibility assessment. Project management acts as an essential force during this initial period to deliver abstract concepts into operational plans. During this project phase the manager ensures teams merge innovative thinking with results from market research and feasibility testing.

A basic requirement in the development process comes from feasibility analysis which verifies all aspects involving product conception technicality financial stability and operational execution fundamental values. Sońta-Drączkowska and Mrożewski (2019) demonstrate that this stage ensures the team detects the their concept's suitability according to present startup resource boundaries. Research performed in the market involves both audience understanding and competitor evaluation alongside the recognition of gaps present in the marketplace (Korpysa et al., 2020). SWOT analysis and Business Model Canvas function as project management tools to structure idea generation as the team remains dedicated to valuable directions (Kiani, 2024). Project Scope establishment through a Project Charter ensures project direction because it defines parameters and schedules during this stage the project avoids scope expansion issues (Altahat, 2024).

Planning and Strategy Development

After validating an idea for feasibility the following stage involves the development of extensive planning and an approach strategy for product construction. The product development workflow results in the generation of a valuable strategic document which shows developmental stages with associated milestones together with resource and time commitments (Chen et al., 2021). Project management operations focus on this developmental phase to establish orderly product advancement thus creating alignment between all stakeholders regarding targets and delivery schedules.

The planning phase requires essential implementation of specific targets that connect with the business's essential strategic direction. The organization sets performance criteria to measure the delivery of genuine customer value (Latif et al., 2020). Project timelines need to be well defined during this stage because they help team members grasp project speed requirements and follow the plan. Identifying and distributing resources such as human capital and technological systems and financial resources must proceed shortly after the commencement of planning (Martens et al., 2015). Risk assessment takes an essential part during this phase. The task of project management includes risk prediction regarding

market competition and resource limitations and financial ambiguity (Bushuyev & Ozdoyev, 2014) followed by risk management strategy development.

Implementing systems for strategic project management relies on tools such as Gantt charts and Kanban boards with Agile backlogs which help teams maintain responsibility organization and project tracking (Shahzadi et al., 2021). These visual management tools operate as basic presentation tools to display timeline information for managing tasks during project execution. Forward-thinking strategic tools aid in simplifying product development by both simplifying operational directions and building better communication flow.

Execution and Product Development

The planning phase ends in product development by transitioning directly to create Minimum Viable Product (MVP). The product development process begins with creating an MVP as a simplified version of the main product which allows testing core principles in a minimal-resource market environment. Entrepreneurs need this phase to obtain user feedback that aids product adjustments according to real-world observations (Buganza et al., 2010). The development process must receive consistent coordination during this stage of project management to construct an MVP on schedule and within budget while maintaining high quality standards (Fayolle & Gailly, 2013).

Agile methodologies become common at this point because of their adaptability along with their method of continuous cycle development. A project divided into smaller manageable sprints enables teams to develop in increments which allows them to respond swiftly to feedback and changes according to Dorland et al. (2020). Project managers can monitor work advancement better and introduce vital changes because of this feature. Quality assurance acts as a necessary component within the execution phase. The MVP undergoes testing sessions that verify its performance alongside essential quality criteria assessments (Huang et al., 2014). As part of their responsibilities project managers direct testing tasks while they manage prompt issue resolution plus they communicate progress updates to stakeholders.

From the users we receive invaluable information that becomes essential in this phase. The development team obtains essential product evaluations through A/B testing and usability testing and customer interview processes (Tokareva et al., 2020). Product developers base the following design iteration on feedback they receive. The project management team leads the team to preserve dedicated focus on iteration goals by achieving an equilibrium between development pace and quality retention and user contentment (Hussein & Çağlar, 2019).

Monitoring, Evaluation, and Iteration

The iterative development of entrepreneurial products requires both monitoring and evaluation systems for progress evaluation. A developed minimum viable product (MVP) continues its evolution with an ongoing feedback mechanism connecting users and stakeholders for making product improvements. The success of products is monitored by project managers through Key Performance Indicators (KPIs) and other approved metrics which allow them to verify target achievement (Amalia, 2019). The principal performance indicators for product evaluation consist of acquisition metrics alongside user connection metrics and rate of user retention metrics and measurements of customer satisfaction.

The team's ability to remain adaptable stems from effective project management which derives enhancements from performance metrics for product improvement. Agile sprint reviews enable teams to track their progress while making essential changes according to Kulkarni & Kulkarni (2019). Project managers should maintain constant communication channels between all stakeholders particularly between development staff and both marketing and sales groups to ensure proper alignment of phase objectives. This phase requires continuous updates because the product needs to adapt to changing market conditions and user expectations according to Theodorakopoulos et al. (2014).

The product’s scope undergoes necessary modifications during this phase. User feedback and testing activities will expose fresh market demands which may prompt complete reconfiguration of product features. Through their essential management of project changes project administrators help maintain project progress and adhere to the project boundaries (Zou et al., 2012).

Commercialization and Scaling

The end phases of entrepreneurial product development require both product market launch together with operational growth. Commercialization begins when a product goes to market for its first appearance followed by market establishment steps. A detailed market entry plan goes into effect at this stage through focused sales initiatives and

marketing initiatives and distribution planning activities (Dabić et al., 2011). The project manager's mission involves launch coordination of new products by implementing proper marketing programs alongside sales initiatives to create market entry support.

Project managers need to handle scaling requirements at this point of development. Product reach expansion and production capacity enhancement and infrastructure upgrade for increased demand management make up the scaling stage (Kinya et al., 2018). Resource management needs careful attention featuring support components for customers, shipping operations and manufacturing processes to preserve product excellence while fulfilling rising customer needs. Project creators need to confirm their organization possesses adequate processes which help its growth operation proceed efficiently without compromising quality standards or customer service levels (Munavirovna et al., 2020).

Project management stays crucial from the beginning until the end of every entrepreneurial product development phase. The product development lifecycle contains different strategic elements and operational steps that span from origination through commercialization then product growth. Through effective project management entrepreneurs gain the capability to handle project challenges while minimizing risks and delivering successful marketable products. Project managers boost entrepreneurial team success in product development by using frameworks like Agile and Lean and other methods to navigate development complexities.

CASE STUDIES AND REAL-WORLD APPLICATIONS

Case Study 1: A Successful Entrepreneurial Product Launch

Example: Dropbox

Dropbox demonstrates how excellent project management leads to successful product development since it represents a widely recognized file-sharing platform. During its initial development Dropbox employed Lean Startup principles that promote user feedback as well as successive refinement of its offerings. The team adopted an MVP strategy to create an essential product version which appealed to users. Their video presentation demonstrated the product value successfully which attracted numerous signups to the service before its completion date. The findings of Blank and Eckhardt stand in favor of Dropbox when they explain how actionable theories guide startups safely through ambiguous business procedures (Blank & Eckhardt, 2023).

The company base their project management strategy on fundamental operating principles. They began with an MVP launch of their basic service that let users transfer and view files emphasizing essential features. The approach of learning and validating business models through MVPs serves as a recommendation by Tripathi et al. (Tripathi et al., 2019). Agile methodology was adopted as their business approach since Pantiuchina et al. (2017) confirmed how lean startups use Agile practices to achieve effective quality-speed balances. They kept their focus on customers above everything else through direct listening to initial users for product improvement purposes according to Lean Startup methodologies (Shah, 2024). Project scoping and prioritization served as the last key element to guide their development by selecting essential features and removing unneeded elements which halted the process known as scope creep.

The continuous cycle of improvement enabled Dropbox to perfect its product and the first minimum viable product launched gained substantial user interest which propelled their rapid expansion. Their ability to grow rapidly alongside funding acquisition made them achieve status as one of the successful technology startups from their era. The story of Dropbox demonstrates how lean startup methods and agile project management approaches enable innovative developments while minimizing hazards and guaranteeing product relevance for customers.

Case Study 2: A Failed Startup and Lessons Learned

Example: Juicero

Juicero operated as a high-profile startup through which it developed an expensive proprietary juicer platform that needed proprietary juice packs. A significant amount of venture capital funding did not prevent Juicero from failure when it could not meet its promised delivery while eventually dissolving the company. The demise of Juicero shows through example how bad project management combined with inadequate marketplace testing and inability to modify operations leads to business failure. The major flaws in Juicero stemmed from developing sophisticated hardware with an incorrect market application because engineers created it without considering user needs. According to the

observations of Ghezzi businesses should validate their business models before dedicating substantial investments (Ghezzi, 2019).

The company failed to demonstrate an iterative strategy because it did not properly test its products nor interact with users before product launch. The application of Agile methodologies could have enabled them to find early flaws in their concept through Lean Startup iterative learning practices (Duc & Abrahamsson, 2016). The main issue stunned market observers because Juicero moved ahead with expensive infrastructure investments while neglecting to test if customers desired to pay for their product. As the product received major opposition the company did not modify its product line based on customer feedback. Juicero maintained its initial vision until the company dissolved completely. Juicero crashed because it maintained excessive confidence in its product along with insufficient market testing while simultaneously making numerous unsuccessful management choices. The startup achieved sufficient funding support with its attractive first concept yet failed to receive positive customer input before going out of business. Developers should learn from Juicero that an essential business principle involves preparing products to conform to market limitations while maintaining regular project review practices exactly like Lean Startup literature demonstrates (Göcke & Weninger, 2020).

Comparative Analysis of Project Management Approaches

Product development startups benefit best from Agile project management because it offers adaptable features through a flexible model structure. Startups experience rapid and unpredictable conditions from the marketplace and customers alongside technological progress so they require a method that lets them make quick changes while pursuing iterative enhancements. Startups can enhance their product development through Agile which supports feature development through repeated short cycles featuring continuous feedback integration. The cyclical development process enables startups to maintain market sensitivity which minimizes their possibility of business failure. Dropbox implemented a successful refinement of its product development process through continual user suggestions integration.

A structured linear process defines milestones in the Waterfall approach while matching projects that need static requirements. Startup businesses at their early stages typically need flexible models than standardized methodologies such as this one. The startup collapse of Juicero demonstrates the constraints of Waterfall since its lack of fast adaptation and market responsive changes became factors that led to its downfall. Research validates the approach where startups succeed when applying Agile methodology to implement quick adjustment cycles according to user input (Yli-Huumo et al., 2015).

Statups functioning within high-uncertainty environments benefit greatly from Agile for their product development activities. The approach enables quick decisions together with sustained partnership work with stakeholders alongside minimal initial funding requirements which essentials for testing products with immediate market input. Startups would likely face challenges using Waterfall because changing customer preferences and evolving technological advances need an approach that is flexible and adaptative. Agile methodologies prove essential for startups through their analysis because they empower organizations to both increase their speed and foster creative solutions in dynamic environments (Corbett et al., 2013).

CHALLENGES AND SOLUTIONS

Common Challenges in Entrepreneurial Project Management

The many opportunities in entrepreneurial product development create obstacles that defy successful development. Startups encounter separate obstacles which create barriers in moving their initial concept to a marketable product. Startups face multiple obstacles that become more difficult due to resource scarcity and short timelines and their need to adapt quickly. Startup projects face several main obstacles starting from uncertain market demand and having limited resources together with team directive control and time management requirements and technology changes.

Startup success becomes complicated because entrepreneurs must solve the problem of uncertain market conditions when they cannot predict the level of customer interest in their products. The ambiguity about market needs makes it hard for businesses to devise strategic choices that include establishing features and pricing structures as well as defining their customer base. The findings presented by Odello (2024) highlight that startups develop better innovation performance through market orientation yet startups need reliable data along with customer feedback to prevent developing products that underserve market needs. The literature demonstrates that startups success in gathering market intelligence leads to greater innovation performance since it allows them to understand customer needs better (Odello, 2024).

The normal operation for startups consists of budgetary restrictions alongside small workforce numbers and fundamental access problems to necessary infrastructure. The limited resources available to entrepreneurs typically requires them to handle several different responsibilities that normal organizations assign to separate departments. A lack of project managers alongside engineering specialists and marketing as well as financial specialists results in productivity issues when executing plans (Gracy, 2024). Rompho (2018) shows that startup operational effectiveness stands as a primary issue because starting firms experience resource limitations while their people must perform many tasks simultaneously.

Effectively developing new products needs thorough teamwork between members who handle engineering work and design responsibilities as well as the marketing team and sales department. Startups experience difficulties in efficiently connecting their business elements which results in goal discrepancies mixed with priority uncertainties coupled with workflow inefficiencies. Teams working from different locations experience difficulties maintaining smooth communication channels primarily because of distributed working environments. The findings presented by Ghezzi show that effective collaboration stands as an essential factor particularly essential because they demonstrate how cognitive frameworks drive diverse team management and enhance communication (Ghezzi, 2020).

Startups experience both budgetary limitations along with shortened timelines because they need to deliver on time targets under high pressure demands. Startup market opportunities might slip through when product development timelines stretch long and this breakdown in product timelines interacts with investor trust and startup reputation in negative ways. Bad time management skills will result in poor quality outcomes of the completed work. Research demonstrates that startups need excellent time management abilities for they help sustain progress and prevent serious delays when working in fast-moving startup environments (Gracy, 2024).

Tech industry operations run at such high speed that fresh tools along with platforms and technologies present themselves on a regular basis. All start-ups need to monitor cutting-edge advancements because failure to keep pace with industry changes may result in losing market share. The implementation of new technological solutions alongside employee training during product development proves difficult because it requires significant effort and duration. Nefaie explains that startups need digital transformation plans to fulfill client requirements and sustain their market position during market evolution (Nefaie, 2023).

Digital technologies have brought revolutionary changes to business practices that generated more automated systems and data-based executive choices and stronger operational interoperability. Business owners implement artificial intelligence together with big data analytics and cloud computing to maximize their businesses and secure market superiority. Project management methodologies accept changes by implementing agile and hybrid methods which provide suitable frameworks for digital high-speed operations. Fast digitalization creates multiple operational difficulties that include cybersecurity concerns together with digital capabilities deficiencies and persistent technological improvement requirements.

The changing climate has emerged as a major problem which shapes both regulatory demands and consumer buying choices. Society expects entrepreneurs to implement green energy solutions as well as carbon footprint reduction methods and circular economy models to comply with environmental standards. Organizations use project management frameworks alongside environmental social governance (ESG) principles which evaluate the sustainability outlook of their projects. The transition toward sustainable operations presents starting capital expenses along with regulatory hurdles which proves difficult for new business entities to navigate.

Strategies for Overcoming Challenges

Startups can use a variety of project management strategies together with methodologies along with tools to improve their flexibility and maximize resource use while maintaining market-based alignment. Startups can use effective implementation of Lean Startup principles as a strategy. The Lean Startup methodology creates a systematic framework for businesses to lower product development uncertainties while killing unnecessary costs. A startup avoids wasting resources on unneeded products by developing minimum viable products first then making product changes based on user feedback (Ghezzi, 2020). Entrepreneurs use this methodology for quick assumption validation which determines their decisions to maintain their original course of action or shift direction using real-world evidence.

Agile project management provides startups with an effective solution to tackle their business challenges. The agile methodology delivers functionality through two main models which include Scrum and Kanban systems that combine flexibility and iterative development with continuous feedback loops. New startups can handle unpredictability

through short work cycles called sprints which require periodic goal and timeline and deliverable assessments (Gracy, 2024). Through its iterative process Agile enables teams to set priorities while modifying project scope and take rapid decisions supported by new data because startups often operate in uncertain environments (Gracy, 2024).

Startup companies use outsourcing in combination with strategic partnerships as a tool to overcome their resource limitations. Startups must make use of minimal expenses by contracting with outside professionals or establishing partnerships with external parties because their budgets remain limited. The partnership with third-party vendors allows startups to lower their workload on core operations while their teams can concentrate on primary responsibilities (Bagno, 2023). Research evidence validates the effectiveness of working with external partners because their collaboration leads to increased innovation along with operational efficiency (Usman & Vanhaverbeke, 2017).

Modern project management tools serve as indispensable instruments to optimize tracking of tasks along with team teamwork and resources distribution. The digital project management tools Trello and Asana and Jira and Monday.com improve workflow management and maintain teams' alignment to deadlines consequently delivering better results. Through these project management tools project managers achieve real-time task tracking visibility and spot bottlenecks as well as promote team-wide communication (Gracy, 2024). Every startup depending on technology integration in project management needs this approach to optimize operations while boosting the performance results (Nefaie, 2023).

Time management stands as a basic element for startups to surpass their difficulties. Teams that practice good time management will complete deadlines and prevent staff burnout even under low resource availability. Achieving startup success requires startups to establish practical time-based targets that enable them to speed up their progress while preventing time-consuming holdups. Startups experiencing greater successes with their project outputs implement formal time management systems according to Gracy (2024).

Business survival depends heavily on organizations maintaining current technology trends. The rapidly moving technology industry requires startups to monitor changing technologies because they need to adopt these new developments immediately. Startups who allocate time for emerging tool study and development integration secure product improvements and competitive success (Nefaie, 2023). Startups need this forward-thinking technology-adoption method to develop innovative solutions which will fulfill changing market needs.

The successful development of entrepreneurial products requires organizations to use strategic thinking and precise project management alongside their ability to change rapidly. Agile startups that focus on customers and use their resources efficiently remain competitive in the current competitive marketplace. With proven practices from project management and technological implementation combined with cultural emphasis on continuous optimization entrepreneurs will decrease project risks while maximizing their success potential and obtaining on-time market-ready results within budget constraints.

DISCUSSION

Entrepreneurs leading a product development team through the entire process from start to market launch require a critical guidance system provided by project management. The majority of the entrepreneurs struggle in conditions of their conditions that see them handling their resource situations within a changing market needs and short time limits. This paper studies such basic project management strategies that enhance entrepreneurial business performance by influencing their product development, execution, and expansion phases.

For entrepreneurship, the vital project management task is to set definite goals with the apt performance measurements standards. They setup goals that can be measured and organized to drive the teams' actions toward achieving business goals and establishing progress monitoring systems. Startups who do not have well articulated objectives churn poorly and languish their product development efforts. Key Performance Indicators are used for project progress evaluation and project stability is maintained as their value acts as evaluation benchmarks. The second main difficulty stems out of the choice of the right KPIs which allows capturing product achievements as well as the customer reaction alongside business entry to the market.

Proper prioritisation rate the same importance during the product development cycle. As a result, as startup companies have a limited amount of resources, they schedule development of important features ahead and postpone the rest to later stages. Using the combination of MoSCoW methodology and Agile development principles, entrepreneurs get a

systematic way of arranging their tasks to quickly engaged with the most vital product components. The Agile method means the iterative one, but it provides adaptability and has a problem to keep the strict focus while preventing the new features from overwhelming the plan. To prevent projects from going down a bad direction, entrepreneurs need to have proper levels of discipline and flexibly of approaches.

To achieve success any entrepreneurial project must be built on strong communication together with collaborative work. Sometimes the speed of startup operations depends on real-time clear communication; the business succeeds or fails on the basis of it. Problems caused by the improper adherence of product design teams to engineering groups and marketing groups include productivity problems and double work, as well that of incompatible product goals. Team alignment with established communication routines coupled with digital collaboration tools allows for risk mitigation. The issue of continuing to create an open communication environment remains as for the teams working over remotely spacial distances, contracts regarding the informal communication are limited.

The most important thing for an entrepreneurial venture is achieving success in project management through effective risk management. Uncertainties will be unavoidable in startup environments, therefore businesses should also detect potentially potential risks before they occur and prepare backup solutions that will not disrupt. Product development timelines are threatened by business viability, market risks and technical challenges and regulatory hurdles and financial constraints. While most startups encounter unexpected issues that need to be addressed with immediate agility to adapt to a fast changing market, the benefit of doing risk assessment ahead has more advantages and it is not a waste time, however, it benefits in many ways. Organizational flexibility is created by the implementation of a continuous feedback cycle that repeatedly reevaluates risks, which prevents startup ventures from suffering project failure by making necessary transitions.Permanent improvement becomes a fundamental organizational practice for long-term, competitive growth of business. Entrepreneurs who tend to get user feedback, feedback from investors, feedback from stakeholders and internal teams' feedback to get their products and processes better by ongoing improvement. Startups can modify their products to the real world through regulated retrospective examinations and ongoing refinement procedures thereby decreasing wasted work efforts and improve their market fit. Kaizen principle should be used by the startups to efficiently determines small improvements which will bring operational changes but not throughout the startup setup. The major hurdle consists in maintaining a balance of an effective product development pace and operational reliability. Although failure to adapt can lead to business stagnation, increasing cycles of development without defined goals lead to operational inefficiencies.

Immediately after the product launch the entrepreneurial business constitutes a final evaluation of its results and an ongoing expansion of its reach through scale up operations. To product commercialization, systematic assessments of performance as well as methods by which the customer is engaged and the market expanded are needed. Startups use such predictable metrics as user maintenance levels, customer satisfaction scores and financial expansion to evaluate the achievements of their product. Companies that scale their operations deal with the challenges of operational complexity coupled with the fact they will require more infrastructure and increased competition from the market. Startups generally lack a proper growth strategy which leads to scaling up their operations before the time, thus putting strain on resources and operation. Use of project management methodologies is intended to protect sustainable growth, bridging the gap between market needs and business capacities on the one hand and on the other hand, business expansion initiatives.

It further indicates that Project management structures add valuable contribution to entrepreneurial product development processes. Startups that use clear objectives in their entrepreneurial projects have the more success in achieving their aims than those that don't, as they also prioritize what's needed, manage risk and communicate openly, and focus on the continuous improvement efforts and scalability planning. Given the dynamic nature of the operational environment and limited resources in starting a business, these challenges have to be handled properly. Further investigation needs to be conducted on how modern project management methods consisting of AI solutions and fusion of Agile and Waterfall systems would equally raise entrepreneurial innovation success in competitive and tech intensive market settings.

CONCLUSION

Project management is a fundamental part of product development through entrepreneurship because it allows for the creation of systematic ideas into market products that are successful. Structured methodologies helps in the

implementation for startups to go through uncertainties and resource management in alignment with the strategic objectives. Entrepreneur perform successful innovation alongside execution by taking into consideration business goals, setting priority, assessing risk and always improving. Communications systems and collaboration structures can be set up as a body when organizations strive to make theirs more effective hence preventing any operations which may slow down progress. Although agility is essential for adjustments of the market condition, the structured methodology avoids the teams from getting out of track and still continuing following their product development plan. Although project management in entrepreneurial settings achieve positive outcomes, it is faced with specific operational challenges. Due to financial and time based challenges which a Startups may face, implementing a formal project management frameworks can be a difficult process. Lean systems typically result in business goal misalignments and too much growth, whereas rigid process systems prevent innovation. As a result, given market uncertainty, technological complexities or regulatory requirements, the execution of projects becomes complex. New studies should examine the usefulness of how AI based project management software assists the startups with tackling such kind of problems in enhancing decision quality in the adaptive business context.

REFERENCES

1. Asgari, T., Daneshvar, A., Chobar, A., Ebrahimi, M., & Abrahamyan, S. (2022). Identifying key success factors for startups with sentiment analysis using text data mining. International Journal of Engineering Business Management, 14. https://doi.org/10.1177/18479790221131612
2. Altahat, S. (2024). The impact of entrepreneurial behavior on entrepreneurial human resources management: the mediating role of entrepreneurial orientation. Problems and Perspectives in Management, 22(1), 147-155. https://doi.org/10.21511/ppm.22(1).2024.13
3. Amalia, T. (2019). Optimization of reporting regulations to measure regional development performance.. https://doi.org/10.4108/eai.10-9-2019.2289436
4. Buganza, T., Gerst, M., & Verganti, R. (2010). Adoption of npd flexibility practices in new technology-based firms. European Journal of Innovation Management, 13(1), 62-80. https://doi.org/10.1108/14601061011013230
5. Веретеннікова, Н. and Vaskiv, R. (2018). Application of the lean startup methodology in project management at launching new innovative products.. https://doi.org/10.1109/stc-csit.2018.8526731
6. Bagno, R. (2023). Startup engagement: a strategy framework for established companies. Innovation & Management Review, 21(3), 182-197. https://doi.org/10.1108/inmr-07-2022-0093
7. Bushuyev, S. and Ozdoyev, M. (2014). Management of projects for organization development on the basis of entrepreneurship. International Journal of Computing, 221-226. https://doi.org/10.47839/ijc.13.4.635
8. Bolat, B., Yaşlı, F., & Temur, G. (2021). Risk analysis for the tech startup projects with fuzzy logic., 671-679. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-85577-2_79
9. Blank, S. and Eckhardt, J. (2023). The lean startup as an actionable theory of entrepreneurship. Journal of Management, 50(8), 3012-3034. https://doi.org/10.1177/01492063231168095
10. Corbett, A., Covin, J., O'Connor, G., & Tucci, C. (2013). Corporate entrepreneurship: state-of-the-art research and a future research agenda. Journal of Product Innovation Management, 30(5), 812-820. https://doi.org/10.1111/jpim.12031
11. Chen, S., Wang, W., & Lu, C. (2021). Exploring the development of entrepreneurial identity in a learning-by-doing entrepreneurial project environment. Education + Training, 63(5), 679-700. https://doi.org/10.1108/et-07-2020-0195
12. Conforto, E., Salum, F., Amaral, D., Silva, S., & Almeida, L. (2014). Can agile project management be adopted by industries other than software development?. Project Management Journal, 45(3), 21-34. https://doi.org/10.1002/pmj.21410
13. Dabić, M., Ortiz-de-Urbina-Criado, M., & Romero-Martínez, A. (2011). Human resource management in entrepreneurial firms: a literature review. International Journal of Manpower, 32(1), 14-33. https://doi.org/10.1108/01437721111121206
14. Dorland, A., Finch, D., Levallet, N., Raby, S., Ross, S., & Swiston, A. (2020). An entrepreneurial view of universal work-integrated learning. Education + Training, 62(4), 393-411. https://doi.org/10.1108/et-11-2019-0260

15. Duc, A. and Abrahamsson, P. (2016). Minimum viable product or multiple facet product? the role of mvp in software startups., 118-130. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-33515-5_10
16. Fayolle, A. and Gailly, B. (2013). The impact of entrepreneurship education on entrepreneurial attitudes and intention: hysteresis and persistence. Journal of Small Business Management, 53(1), 75-93. https://doi.org/10.1111/jsbm.12065
17. Gracy, J. (2024). Navigating the software symphony: a review of factors and strategies for software development in startups. International Journal of Computing and Digital Systems, 15(1), 377-390. https://doi.org/10.12785/ijcds/160130
18. Giardino, C., Paternoster, N., Unterkalmsteiner, M., Gorschek, T., & Abrahamsson, P. (2016). Software development in startup companies: the greenfield startup model. Ieee Transactions on Software Engineering, 42(6), 585-604. https://doi.org/10.1109/tse.2015.2509970
19. Ghezzi, A. (2020). How entrepreneurs make sense of lean startup approaches: business models as cognitive lenses to generate fast and frugal heuristics. Technological Forecasting and Social Change, 161, 120324. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techfore.2020.120324
20. Ghezzi, A. (2019). Digital startups and the adoption and implementation of lean startup approaches: effectuation, bricolage and opportunity creation in practice. Technological Forecasting and Social Change, 146, 945-960. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techfore.2018.09.017
21. Göcke, L. and Weninger, R. (2020). Business model development and validation in digital entrepreneurship., 71-85. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-53914-6_4
22. Gracy, J. (2024). Navigating the software symphony: a review of factors and strategies for software development in startups. International Journal of Computing and Digital Systems, 15(1), 377-390. https://doi.org/10.12785/ijcds/160130
23. Huang, S., Ding, D., & Chen, Z. (2014). Entrepreneurial leadership and performance in chinese new ventures: a moderated mediation model of exploratory innovation, exploitative innovation and environmental dynamism. Creativity and Innovation Management, 23(4), 453-471. https://doi.org/10.1111/caim.12085
24. Hussein, Y. and Çağlar, D. (2019). The effect of high involvement work systems on organizational performance: the mediating role of knowledge-based capital. Management Science Letters, 1361-1372. https://doi.org/10.5267/j.msl.2019.5.014
25. Jetter, A. and Albar, F. (2015). Project management in product development: toward a framework for targeted flexibility., 1562-1575. https://doi.org/10.1109/picmet.2015.7273107
26. Khan, U. (2023). Application of project management techniques for timeline and budgeting estimates of startups. Sustainability, 15(21), 15526. https://doi.org/10.3390/su152115526
27. Kiani, A. (2024). Artificial intelligence in entrepreneurial project management: a review, framework and research agenda. International Journal of Managing Projects in Business. https://doi.org/10.1108/ijmpb-03-2024-0068
28. Kinya, M., Wanjau, K., & Omondi, H. (2018). Performance of incubator centres in kenya. International Journal of Research in Business and Social Science (2147-4478), 7(1), 49-59. https://doi.org/10.20525/ijrbs.v7i1.859
29. Korpysa, J., Halicki, M., & Łopatka, A. (2020). Entrepreneurial management of project supply chain – a model approach. Problems and Perspectives in Management, 18(3), 211-223. https://doi.org/10.21511/ppm.18(3).2020.18
30. Kulkarni, T. and Kulkarni, N. (2019). Authoring a periodic adverse drug experience report…here's what you need to know!. Perspectives in Clinical Research, 10(2), 95. https://doi.org/10.4103/picr.picr_126_18
31. Latif, K., Afzal, O., Saqib, A., Sahibzada, U., & Alam, W. (2020). Direct and configurational paths of knowledge-oriented leadership, entrepreneurial orientation, and knowledge management processes to project success. Journal of Intellectual Capital, 22(1), 149-170. https://doi.org/10.1108/jic-09-2019-0228
32. Miller, G. (2019). Project management tasks in agile projects: a quantitative study.. https://doi.org/10.15439/2019f117
33. Martens, C., Carneiro, K., Martens, M., & Silva, D. (2015). Relationship between entrepreneurial orientation and project management maturity in brazilian software firms. Revista Ibero-Americana De Estratégia, 14(2), 72-91. https://doi.org/10.5585/ijsm.v14i2.2232

34. Munavirovna, K., Fialovna, M., Andreevna, S., & Nikolaevich, T. (2020). Project training as the basis for the formation of entrepreneurial competencies.. https://doi.org/10.2991/aebmr.k.200324.038
35. Nefaie, M. (2023). Digital transformation strategies for the sustainable growth of startups in australia., 27-34. https://doi.org/10.4995/carma2023.2023.16495
36. Odello, J. (2024). Relationship between market orientation and innovation performance in technology startups in kenya. International Journal of Business Strategies, 9(1), 75-86. https://doi.org/10.47672/ijbs.1824
37. Oliva, F. and Kotabe, M. (2019). Barriers, practices, methods and knowledge management tools in startups. Journal of Knowledge Management, 23(9), 1838-1856. https://doi.org/10.1108/jkm-06-2018-0361
38. Pantiuchina, J., Mondini, M., Khanna, D., & Abrahamsson, P. (2017). Are software startups applying agile practices? the state of the practice from a large survey., 167-183. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-57633-6_11
39. Rompho, N. (2018). Operational performance measures for startups. Measuring Business Excellence, 22(1), 31-41. https://doi.org/10.1108/mbe-06-2017-0028
40. Rompho, N. (2018). Operational performance measures for startups. Measuring Business Excellence, 22(1), 31-41. https://doi.org/10.1108/mbe-06-2017-0028
41. Sońta-Drączkowska, E. and Mrożewski, M. (2019). Exploring the role of project management in product development of new technology-based firms. Project Management Journal, 51(3), 294-311. https://doi.org/10.1177/8756972819851939
42. Silva, S., Calado, R., Silva, M., & Nascimento, M. (2013). Lean startup applied in healthcare: a viable methodology for continuous improvement in the development of new products and services. Ifac Proceedings Volumes, 46(24), 295-299. https://doi.org/10.3182/20130911-3-br-3021.00054
43. saltz, j., Turk, D., & Anderson, E. (2019). Introduction to the minitrack on agile and lean: organizations, products and development.. https://doi.org/10.24251/hicss.2019.833
44. Siham El Atmani et al. (2023). Impact of pedagogical innovation on the development of entrepreneurial mindset among students in economics and management. JETT, 14(6). https://doi.org/10.47750/jett.2023.14.06.007
45. Shahzadi, A., Li, S., Sahibzada, U., Malik, M., Khalid, R., & Afshan, G. (2021). The dynamic relationship of knowledge management processes and project success: modeling the mediating role of knowledge worker satisfaction. Business Process Management Journal, 27(6), 1657-1676. https://doi.org/10.1108/bpmj-08-2021-0500
46. Sońta-Drączkowska, E. and Mrożewski, M. (2019). Exploring the role of project management in product development of new technology-based firms. Project Management Journal, 51(3), 294-311. https://doi.org/10.1177/8756972819851939
47. Shah, K. (2024). Considerations in the testing of a minimum viable product in healthcare. Proceedings of the Design Society, 4, 1677-1686. https://doi.org/10.1017/pds.2024.170
48. Tripathi, N., Oivo, M., Liukkunen, K., & Markkula, J. (2019). Startup ecosystem effect on minimum viable product development in software startups. Information and Software Technology, 114, 77-91. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.infsof.2019.06.008
49. Theodorakopoulos, N., Kakabadse, N., & McGowan, C. (2014). What matters in business incubation? a literature review and a suggestion for situated theorising. Journal of Small Business and Enterprise Development, 21(4), 602-622. https://doi.org/10.1108/jsbed-09-2014-0152
50. Tokareva, G., Skiperskaya, E., Lezhebokov, A., Baicherova, A., & Zolotareva, T. (2020). Achieving the polyprofessionality of the future personnel through the development of entrepreneurial competencies.. https://doi.org/10.2991/assehr.k.200723.045
51. Tripp, J., Saltz, J., & Turk, D. (2018). Thoughts on current and future research on agile and lean: ensuring relevance and rigor.. https://doi.org/10.24251/hicss.2018.681
52. Tereso, A., Leão, C., & Ribeiro, T. (2019). Project management practices at portuguese startups., 39-49. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-16181-1_4
53. Vnukova, N., Makovoz, O., Vakareva, Y., & Kuzmenko, T. (2022). Design thinking as a start-up business planning strategy. Współczesne Problemy Zarządzania, 9(2(19)), 137-146. https://doi.org/10.52934/wpz.156

54. Usman, M. and Vanhaverbeke, W. (2017). How start-ups successfully organize and manage open innovation with large companies. European Journal of Innovation Management, 20(1), 171-186. https://doi.org/10.1108/ejim-07-2016-0066
55. Yli-Huumo, J., Rissanen, T., Maglyas, A., Smolander, K., & Sainio, L. (2015). The relationship between business model experimentation and technical debt., 17-29. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-19593-3_2
56. Zaremba, B., Bode, C., & Wagner, S. (2016). New venture partnering capability: an empirical investigation into how buying firms effectively leverage the potential of innovative new ventures. Journal of Supply Chain Management, 53(1), 41-64. https://doi.org/10.1111/jscm.12116
57. Zou, X., Bian, L., Zhai, Y., & Liu, H. (2012). Performance monitoring and test system for grid-connected photovoltaic systems.. https://doi.org/10.1109/appeec.2012.6307156